arXiv:1704.04051v2 [math.NT] 20 Apr 2017

Block Structure of Cyclotomic Polynomials

Ala'a Al-Kateeb, Hoon Hong, Eunjeong Lee

April 21, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we list several interesting structures of cyclotomic polynomials: specifically relations among blocks obtained by suitable partition of cyclotomic polynomials. We present explicit and self-contained proof for all of them, using a uniform terminology and technique.

1 Introduction

The cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_n(x)$ is the monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ whose zeros are the primitive n-th roots of unity. It has numerous application in many areas of mathematics, science and engineering. Thus it is important to understand its structure.

In this paper, we list several interesting structures of cyclotomic polynomials: specifically relations among blocks obtained by suitable partition of cyclotomic polynomials. Some of the structures are already known or at least implicit in the previous literature [3, 4, 2]. Others are new, as far as we are aware. We present explicit and self-contained proof for all of them, using a uniform terminology.

Let m be a fixed odd square-free positive integer and p be a prime number relatively prime to m. Let $f_{m,p,i}$ be the i-th"block" of Φ_{mp} in the radix x^p. Let $f_{m,p,i,j}$ be the j-th "block" of $f_{m,p,i}$ in the radix x^m. We provide the following types of structural results.

- Theorem 1 provides an explicit formula for $f_{m,p,i,j}$.
- Theorem 2 lists several "intra" structures of $f_{m,p,i,j}$, that is the relations among them with same p but different i and j.
- Theorem 3 lists several 'inter" properties of $f_{m,p,i,j}$, that is the relation among them with different p, but with same i and j.

2 Block Structures

Notation 1 (Partition)**.** *Let*

$$\Phi_{mp}(x) = \sum_{i\geq 0} f_{m,p,i}(x)\ x^{ip} \qquad \text{where } \deg f_{m,p,i}(x) < p$$

$$f_{m,p,i}(x) = \sum_{j\geq 0} f_{m,p,i,j}(x)\, x^{jm} \qquad \text{where } \deg f_{m,p,i,j}(x) < m$$

Example 1 (Partition)**.** *We will visualize a polynomial by a graph where the horizonal axis stands for the exponents and the vertical axis stands for the corresponding coefficients.Let $m = 15$ and $p = 53$. Then $\phi(m) - 1 = 7$, $q = 3$ and $r = 8$.The partition of the list of the coefficients of Φ_{mp} into $f_{m,p,i,j}$'s is illustrated by the following diagram.*



Let rem stand for polynomial remainder or integer remainder. For integer remainder, we will require that the remainder is non-negative. We need to define some operations on $f_{m,p,i,j}$'s.

Notation 2 (Operation)**.** *For a polynomial f of degree less than m, let*

1. $\mathcal{T}_s f = \mathrm{rem}(f, x^s)$ *"Truncate"*
2. $\mathcal{F} f = x^{m-1} f\left(x^{-1}\right)$ *"Flip"*
3. $\mathcal{R}_s f = \mathrm{rem}(x^{m-\mathrm{rem}(s,m)} f, x^m - 1)$ *"Rotate"*
4. $\mathcal{E}_s f = f(x^{\mathrm{rem}(s,m)})$ *"Expand"*

Example 2 (Operation)**.** *Let $f = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + 5x^4$ and $m = 5$. Note*



Throughout this paper, for an integer m and a prime p, we denote

$$r := \mathrm{rem}\,(p, m)\,, \quad q := \mathrm{quo}(p, m)$$

where quo, of course, stand for quotient. Now we list several structures: some known and some new.

Theorem 1 (Explicit)**.** *For $0 \le i \le \varphi(m) - 1$ and $0 \le j \le q$,*

$$f_{m,p,i,j} = \begin{cases} -\mathcal{R}_{ir}(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1} \Phi_m) & 0 \le j \le q-1 \\ \mathcal{T}_r f_{m,p,i,0} & j = q \end{cases}$$

where $\Psi_m(x) = \frac{x^m - 1}{\Phi_m(x)}$, the m-th inverse cyclotomic polynomial.

Theorem 2 (Intra-Structure)**.** *Within a cyclotomic polynomial, we have*

1. *(Repetition)* $f_{m,p,i,0} = \cdots = f_{m,p,i,q-1}$
2. *(Truncation)* $f_{m,p,i,q} = \mathcal{T}_r f_{m,p,i,0}$
3. *(Symmetry)* $f_{m,p,i',0} = \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1-r} \mathcal{F} f_{m,p,i,0}$ *if* $i' + i = \varphi(m) - 1$

Remark 1. *The "repetition" structure was observed by Arnold and Monaga [2] in the context of computing cyclotomic polynomials. In particular, Algorithm 7 in their paper exploited the repetition structure to improve the time and space complexity when $p \gg m$.*

Theorem 3 (Inter-Structure)**.** *Among cyclotomic polynomials, we have*

1. *(Invariance)* $f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} = f_{m,p,i,0}$ *if* $\tilde{p} - p \equiv_m 0$
2. *(Semi-Invariance)* $f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} = -\mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1} \mathcal{F} f_{m,p,i,0}$ *if* $\tilde{p} + p \equiv_m 0$

Remark 2. *The "invariance" structure was observed by Kaplan [3, 4] in the context of studying flat cyclotomic polynomials. In particular, The proof of [4, Theorem 4] used invariance structure. Furthermore, the proof of [3, Theorem 3] used the semi-invariance structure for $\Phi_{p_1 p_2 p_3}$.*

Now we present a set of examples to illustrate the structural theorems.

Example 3 (Repetition). *Let $m = 15$ and $p = 53$. Then $\varphi(m) - 1 = 7$ and $q = 3$. Note*



Example 4 (Truncation). *Let $m = 15$ and $p = 53$. Then $\varphi(m) - 1 = 7$, $q = 3$ and $r = 8$. Note*



Example 5 (Symmetry). *Let $m = 15$ and $p = 53$. Then $\varphi(m) - 1 = 7$, $r = 8$ and $r' = \varphi(m) - 1 - r = -1$. Note*



Example 6 (Invariance). *Let $m = 15$, $p = 53$ and $\tilde{p} = 83$. Then $\varphi(m) - 1 = 7$. Note*



Example 7 (Semi-Invariance). *Let $m = 15$, $p = 53$ and $\tilde{p} = 37$. Then $r' = \varphi(m) - 1 = 7$ and $r = 8$. Note*



3 Proof of Block Structures

In this section, we prove all the theorems given in the previous section.

Lemma 1. *Let u, v be integers. For polynomials f and $g = \sum_{t \geq 0} c_t x^t$, with degree less than m, we have*

1. $\mathcal{R}_{u-v} f = \mathcal{R}_u \left(x^{\mathrm{rem}(v,m)} f \right)$
2. $\sum_t c_t \mathcal{R}_{u-tv} f = \mathcal{R}_u \left(f \cdot \mathcal{E}_v \sum_t c_t x^t \right)$

Proof. We prove each one by one.

1. Immediate from Notation 2.

2. Note

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_t c_t \mathcal{R}_{u-tv} f &= \text{rem}\left(\sum_t c_t x^{m-\text{rem}(u-tv,m)} f,\ x^m - 1\right) \\ &= \text{rem}\left(x^{m-\text{rem}(u,m)} f \sum_t c_t x^{t\ \text{rem}(v,m)},\ x^m - 1\right) \\ &= \mathcal{R}_u\left(f \cdot \mathcal{E}_v \sum_t c_t x^t\right)\end{aligned}$$

□

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1 (Explicit)

Lemma 2. *We have*

$$\Phi_{mp} = -\ \Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ G$$

where

$$G = \Psi_m \sum_{u \geq 0} x^{um}$$

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{mp} &= \frac{\Phi_m\left(x^p\right)}{\Phi_m} && \text{from } p \nmid m \\ &= \Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ \frac{\Psi_m}{x^m - 1} \\ &= -\Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ \Psi_m\ \frac{1}{1 - x^m} && \text{by rearranging} \\ &= -\ \Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ \Psi_m \sum_{u \geq 0} x^{um} && \text{by carrying out a formal expansion of } \frac{1}{1-x^m} \\ &= -\ \Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ G\end{aligned}$$

□

Notation 3. *Let*

$$G = \Psi_m \sum_{u \geq 0} x^{um} = \sum_{t \geq 0} e_t x^t$$

For $0 \leq i \leq \varphi(m) - 1$ *and* $0 \leq j \leq q$, *let*

$$g_{m,p,i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{l} e_{ip+mj+k} x^k$$

where if $j < q$ *then* $l = m - 1$ *else* $l = r - 1$.

Lemma 3. *For all* $0 \leq i \leq \varphi(m) - 1$, *we have*

1. $g_{m,p,i,0} = \cdots = g_{m,p,i,q-1} = \mathcal{R}_{ir} \Psi_m$
2. $g_{m,p,i,q} = \mathcal{T}_r\ g_{m,p,i,0}$

Proof. Let $0 \le j \le q$. Let $\Psi_m = \sum_{s\ge 0} b_s x^s$. Since $\deg \Psi_m < m$, we see immediately that $e_t = b_{\mathrm{rem}(t,m)}$ for $0 \le t$. We consider two cases:

1. $j < q$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{m,p,i,j} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e_{ip+mj+k}\ x^k && \text{from Notation 3}\\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_{\mathrm{rem}(ir+k,m)}\ x^k && \text{since } e_{ip+jm+k} = b_{\mathrm{rem}(ip+jm+k,m)} = b_{\mathrm{rem}(ir+k,m)}\\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} b_s\ x^{\mathrm{rem}(s+i(m-r),m)} && \text{by re-indexing } k \text{ with } s = \mathrm{rem}(ir+k,m)\\
& && \text{which can be easy shown to be a bijection } \mathbb{N}_{\le m-1} \to \mathbb{N}_{\le m-1}\\
& && \text{with the inverse map } k = \mathrm{rem}(s + i\,(m-r)\,, m)\\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} b_s\ \mathrm{rem}\left(x^{s+i(m-r)},\ x^m - 1\right) && \text{since } x^{\mathrm{rem}(\square,m)} = \mathrm{rem}\left(x^{\square}, x^m - 1\right)\\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} b_s \mathcal{R}_{ir-s} \cdot 1 && \text{from Notation 2}\\
&= \mathcal{R}_{ir}\left(\mathcal{E}_1 \mathcal{T}_m \Psi_m\right) && \text{from Lemma 1}\\
&= \mathcal{R}_{ir} \Psi_m && \text{since } \deg(\Psi_m) < m
\end{aligned}$$

2. $j = q$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{m,p,i,q} &= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} e_{ip+mq+k}\ x^k && \text{from Notation 3}\\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} b_{\mathrm{rem}(ir+k,m)}\ x^k && \text{since } e_{ip+jm+k} = b_{\mathrm{rem}(ip+jm+k,m)} = b_{\mathrm{rem}(ir+k,m)}\\
&= \mathcal{T}_r\ g_{m,p,i,0} && \text{from the second line in the previous case.}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4. *For all $0 \le i \le \varphi(m) - 1$ and $0 \le j \le q$, we have*

$$f_{m,p,i,j} = -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j}$$

where $\Phi_m = \sum_{s\ge 0} a_s x^s$.

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{mp} &= -\Phi_m\left(x^p\right)\ G && \text{from Lemma 2}\\
&= -\left(\sum_{s\ge 0} a_s x^{sp}\right)\ G && \text{from } \Phi_m = \sum_{s\ge 0} a_s x^s\\
&= -\sum_{s\ge 0} a_s x^{sp}\ \ \sum_{k\ge 0} e_k x^k && G = \sum_{k\ge 0} e_k x^k
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{s\geq 0} a_s x^{sp} \quad \sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{j=0}^{q} g_{m,p,i,j}\ x^{jm}\ x^{ip} && \text{from Notation 3}\\
&= -\sum_{s\geq 0}\sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{j=0}^{q} a_s\ g_{m,p,i,j}\ x^{jm+(s+i)p} && \text{by collecting the exponents of } x\\
&= -\sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{\substack{s,i\geq 0\\ s+\bar{s}=i}}\sum_{j=0}^{q} a_s g_{m,p,\bar{s},j}\ x^{jm+ip} && \text{by re-indexing}\\
&= -\sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{s=0}^{i}\sum_{j=0}^{q} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j} x^{jm+ip} && \text{by re-indexing and } \bar{s}=i-s\\
&= -\sum_{i\geq 0}\sum_{j=0}^{q}\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j} x^{jm+ip} && \text{by changing the summation order}\\
&= \sum_{i\geq 0}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{q}\left(-\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j}\right)x^{jm}\right)x^{ip} && \text{by grouping}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that deg $g_{m,p,i,j} < m$. Thus

$$\deg\ \sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j} < m$$

Furthermore deg $g_{m,p,i,q} < r$. Recall that $p = qm + r$. Thus

$$\deg \sum_{j=0}^{q}\left(-\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j}\right)x^{jm} < p$$

Thus finally from Notation 1, we have

$$f_{m,p,i,j} = -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. We consider two cases:

1. $j < q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m,p,i,j} &= -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),j} && \text{from Lemma 4}\\
&= -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s \mathcal{R}_{(i-s)r}\Psi_m && \text{from Lemma 3}\\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{ir}(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m) && \text{from Lemma 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. $j = q$

$$f_{m,p,i,q} = -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),q}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s \mathcal{T}_r g_{m,p,(i-s),0} \\
&= -\mathcal{T}_r \sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s g_{m,p,(i-s),0} && \text{from Lemma 3} \\
&= \mathcal{T}_r f_{m,p,i,0} && \text{from Lemma 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

□

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2 (Intra-Structure)

Lemma 5. *For an integer s, we have $\mathcal{R}_s\left(\Psi_m \mathcal{E}_r \Phi_m\right) = 0$.*

Proof. Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_s\left(\Psi_m \mathcal{E}_r \Phi_m\right) = &\ \mathrm{rem}(x^{m-\mathrm{rem}(s,m)} \Psi_m \Phi_m(x^r), x^m - 1) \\
= &\ \mathrm{rem}(x^{m-\mathrm{rem}(s,m)} \Psi_m \Phi_m(x^p), x^m - 1) && \Phi_m(x^p) \equiv_{x^m-1} \Phi_m(x^r) \\
= &\ \mathrm{rem}(x^{m-\mathrm{rem}(s,m)} \Psi_m \Phi_m \Phi_{mp}, x^m - 1) && \Phi_m(x^p) = \Phi_m \Phi_{mp} \\
= &\ \mathrm{rem}(x^{m-\mathrm{rem}(s,m)} \left(x^m - 1\right) \Phi_{mp}, x^m - 1) && \Psi_m \Phi_m = x^m - 1 \\
= &\ 0 && \mathrm{rem}(x^m - 1, x^m - 1) = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.

1. **Repetition:** From Theorem 1 we see that $f_{m,p,i,j}$ does not depend on j. Hence
$$f_{m,p,i,0} = \cdots = f_{m,p,i,q-1}$$
2. **Truncation:** From Theorem 1 we see that $f_{m,p,i,q} = \mathrm{rem}(f_{m,p,i,0}, x^r)$. Hence
$$f_{m,p,i,q} = \mathcal{T}_r f_{m,p,i,0}$$
3. **Symmetry:** From Theorem 1,
$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m,p,i',0} &= -\mathcal{R}_{i'r}(\Psi_m \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i'+1} \Phi_m) \\
&= -\sum_{s=0}^{i'} a_s \mathcal{R}_{i'r-sr} \Psi_m \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{i'r} \Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{s=0}^{i'} a_s x^s && \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{i'r} \Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \left(\Phi_m - \sum_{s=i'+1}^{\varphi(m)} a_s x^s \right) \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{i'r} \left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{s=i'+1}^{\varphi(m)} a_s x^s \right) && \text{by Lemma 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{R}_{(\varphi(m)-i-1)r}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{s=\varphi(m)-i}^{\varphi(m)} a_s x^s\right) && i' = \varphi(m) - i - 1 \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-(i+1)r}\left(x^{\mathrm{rem}(-\varphi(m)r,m)}\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{s=\varphi(m)-i}^{\varphi(m)} a_s x^s\right) && \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-(i+1)r}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{s=\varphi(m)-i}^{\varphi(m)} a_{\varphi(m)-s} x^{\mathrm{rem}(s-\varphi(m),m)}\right) && a_s = a_{\varphi(m)-s} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-(i+1)r}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \sum_{t=0}^{i} a_t x^{\mathrm{rem}(-t,m)}\right) && t = \varphi(m) - s \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-(i+1)r}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \text{by Notation 2} \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{-(i+1)r}\left(x^{\psi(m)}\Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \Psi_m = -x^{\psi(m)}\Psi_m(x^{-1}) \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{-r}\mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(x^{m-1-(\varphi(m)-1)}\Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-r+\varphi(m)-1}\mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(x^{m-1}\Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_r \mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-r+\varphi(m)-1}x^{m-1}f_{m,p,i,0}(x^{-1}) && \text{by Theorem 1} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-r+\varphi(m)-1}\mathcal{F}f_{m,p,i,0} &&
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f_{m,p,i',0} = \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1-r}\mathcal{F}f_{m,p,i,0}$

□

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3 (Inter-Structure)

Proof of Theorem 3.

1. **Invariance:** Recall

$$f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} = -\mathcal{R}_{ir}(\Psi_m \sum_{s=0}^{i} a_s x^{sr})$$

Thus

$$f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} = f_{m,p,i,0}$$

2. **Semi-invariance:** Note

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} &= \mathcal{R}_{i\tilde{r}}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{r}}\mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \text{from Theorem 1} \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(\Psi_m \cdot \mathcal{E}_{-r}\mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \text{from Notation 2 and } \tilde{r} = m - r \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(x^{\psi(m)}\ \Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{-r}\mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(x^{m-1-(\varphi(m)-1)}\ \Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{-r}\mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \\
&= \mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1}\mathcal{R}_{-ir}\left(x^{m-1}\Psi_m(x^{-1}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{-r}\mathcal{T}_{i+1}\Phi_m\right) && \text{by Lemma 1} \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1}x^{m-1}f_{m,p,i,0}(x^{-1}) && \text{from Theorem 1} \\
&= -\mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1}\mathcal{F}f_{m,p,i,0} &&
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$f_{m,\tilde{p},i,0} = -\mathcal{R}_{\varphi(m)-1}\mathcal{F}f_{m,p,i,0}$$

□

References

[1] A. Arnold and M. Monagan, A high-performance algorithm for calculating cyclotomic polynomials. Proceedings of PASCO, ACM Press, pages 112-120, 2010

[2] A. Arnold and M. Monagan, Calculating cyclotomic polynomials of very large height , Mathematics of Computation, 80 Number 276, October 2011, 2359-2379.

[3] N. Kaplan, Flat cyclotomic polynomials of order three, Journal of Number Theory, 127 (2007), 118-126.

[4] N. Kaplan, Flat Cyclotomic polynomials of order four and higher, Integers, 10 (2010) 357-363.

[5] T. Y. Lam and K. H. Leung, On the Cyclotomic Polynomial $\Phi_{pq}(X)$, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 103, No. 7 (1996), 562-564.

[6] P. Moree, Inverse cyclotomic polynomials, Journal of Number Theory, 129 (2009) Issue 3, pp. 667-680.

[7] R. Thangadurai, On the coefficients of cyclotomic polynomials, in: Cyclotomic Fields and Related Topics, Pune, 1999, Bhaskaracharya Pratishthana, Pune, (2000) pp.311-322.